

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

3 HOUSTON DIVISION

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

· Criminal Action  
5 VERSUS · No. H-15-CR-263  
6 ASHER ABID KHAN, · Houston, Texas  
7 · November 20, 2019  
Defendant. · 2:03 p.m.  
8 . . . . .

9 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LYNN N. HUGHES

11 HEARING

12 APPEARANCES:

13 FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

14 Ms. Carolyn Ferko  
15 Ms. Anna Kalluri  
16 Assistant United States Attorneys  
17 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
18 1000 Louisiana  
Suite 2300  
Houston, Texas 77002  
713.567.9000

19 FOR THE DEFENDANT:

20 Mr. David Adler  
21 ATTORNEY AT LAW  
22 6750 West Loop South  
23 Suite 120  
Bellaire, Texas 77401  
713.666.7576  
Fax: 713.665.7070  
davidadler1@hotmail.com

24 PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY STENOGRAPHIC MEANS,  
25 TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED FROM COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1 COURT REPORTER:

2 GAYLE L. DYE, CSR, RDR, CRR  
3 515 Rusk, Room 8004  
4 Houston, Texas 77002  
5 713.250.5582

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

2 November 20, 2019

3 THE COURT: A smaller audience than I had this  
4 morning. I had 2,552 or something new citizens.

02:03:05 5 MS. FERKO: Oh, wow.

6 THE COURT: That's a crowd. I mean, when I was  
7 singing, of course, it was a much bigger crowd every morning.8 All right. What does the Government want to do  
9 this afternoon?02:03:31 10 MS. FERKO: Well, your Honor, based upon your order,  
11 we did file a brief on the law affecting the resentencing; and  
12 we put it forth in our sentencing recommendation. I know  
13 Mr. Adler also filed a brief which I would like to compliment  
14 him on. It was a very well written brief. And --

02:03:48 15 THE COURT: He is, like me, smarter than he looks.

16 MS. FERKO: And your Honor, I would say -- I would say  
17 there is an issue to address maybe at the end regarding the  
18 status of supervision of the Defendant beyond the date of the  
19 26th of November.02:04:07 20 According to BOP, that's a release date, quote,  
21 unquote, on your original sentence; but since the appeal  
22 occurred and the judgment is vacated on the -- in September,  
23 there is no judgment. So, there would be no supervised release.  
24 So, the gap -- there's a gap time between --

02:04:26 25 THE COURT: So, he reverts to being a pretrial

1 releasee.

2 MS. FERKO: And I just think we'd want some direction  
3 from the Court on that so pretrial kind of takes over.

4 THE COURT: Your house for Christmas?

02:04:40 5 MS. FERKO: So, that will be helpful because I'm just  
6 not sure -- I don't think this situation happens often. And I  
7 wouldn't want either agencies or, you know, something to go  
8 awry.

9 MR. ADLER: We have no objection to Mr. Khan  
02:04:57 10 continuing to comply with all the pretrial conditions that were  
11 imposed before the -- or that were in effect as of the  
12 sentencing last time. We have no problem with that.

13 MS. FERKO: Right.

14 And I think, your Honor, we'd ask for either --  
02:05:08 15 either a detention or either -- the same situation where his  
16 pretrial to be reimposed on the 26th or before the 26th even.

17 THE COURT: Have we gotten past ankle monitors and  
18 stuff?

19 MR. ADLER: He is not on an ankle monitor.  
02:05:29 20 Mr. Hopkins from probation told me that, technically, he is  
21 still a BOP inmate even though he is here in the courtroom  
22 today. But that will end soon; and then, technically, he would  
23 have -- I mean, had the other judgment been in place, he would  
24 have started his supervised release.

02:05:39 25 But since there is no judgment, he'll just sort

1 of be in the clear. So, that's why we have no opposition to the  
2 pretrial conditions being reimposed.

3 THE COURT: Will you do it?

4 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, your Honor. I'll speak  
02:05:50 5 to the pretrial officer that was supervising him before.

6 MS. FERKO: Okay.

7 THE COURT: Understand?

8 THE DEFENDANT: (Indicated yes.)

9 THE COURT: He nodded.

02:06:08 10 I have a question about the record.

11 MS. FERKO: Yes, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: It has been said as a deliberate weasely  
13 passive construction that he planned to blow up the Galleria.  
14 Now, my recollection is --

02:06:28 15 MS. FERKO: No, your Honor.

16 MR. ADLER: That was a different guy.

17 THE COURT: That's a different guy.

18 THE CASE MANAGER: Yes.

19 (Side-bar discussion off the record between the Court and  
02:06:40 20 the case manager.)

21 MS. FERKO: Yeah. The problem is we're the same --

22 MR. ADLER: Yeah.

23 MS. FERKO: Right?

24 This is -- yeah. No, your Honor. This is the  
02:06:45 25 one -- this is the case Mr. Khan planned to, basically, from

1 Australia --

2 THE COURT: All he did was --

3 MS. FERKO: -- to meet to go, like, with -- into --  
4 basically, go from Turkey into, like, either Syria or Iran.

02:07:01 5 THE COURT: Haven't looked at it in awhile.

6 MS. FERKO: Yeah. I understand, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: What do you want to achieve this  
8 afternoon?

9 MR. ADLER: Well, Judge, I think the only thing I want  
02:07:18 10 to make sure we're all clear on -- and I suspect the Government  
11 agrees -- is that this Court still has tremendous discretion in  
12 what sentence to impose at the resentencing hearing in a couple  
13 of weeks.

14 I think the problem with the Fifth Circuit, since  
02:07:32 15 I was there and, I guess, incurred their wrath -- I've been  
16 calling it certain magic words that have to be pronounced by  
17 this Court to make the sentence acceptable to the Fifth Circuit.  
18 I put some of that in the briefing.

19 Frankly, I'd be willing to propose some language.  
02:07:50 20 I suppose the Government would not approve of the number that's  
21 going to accompany my proposal, but they might approve of the  
22 language as far as what this Court is to -- possibly to  
23 pronounce so that the Fifth Circuit doesn't come after me again.

24 MS. FERKO: Well, your Honor, I believe the United  
02:08:06 25 States and, I think -- and Mr. Adler agree that the terrorism

1 enhancement should be applied. That calculation should -- he  
2 should start at a Level 38. We -- I mean, we're not opposed to  
3 his -- he pled guilty, acceptance of responsibility, follow  
4 those calculations.

02:08:23 5 The final -- I believe the final calculation ends  
6 up being beyond the maximum -- statutory maximum of the  
7 sentence. So, he's only subject to a maximum of 15 years which  
8 is 180 months.

9 The United States was at the first sentencing and  
02:08:41 10 still at this sentencing. We are asking for a maximum sentence  
11 in this case. I think we lay out in our briefing, both in -- a  
12 little bit of the law affecting the resentencing but more so in  
13 our response to Defendant's objections that were just recently  
14 filed last week, your Honor, what the United States would want  
02:08:59 15 and the reasons why that, you know -- the 18-month sentence,  
16 your Honor, is, essentially, a 10 percent -- or a 90 percent  
17 deviation downward of the sentence that could be imposed for  
18 this Defendant. And we just feel that that's -- it's not  
19 enough. It's too insignificant.

02:09:17 20 And I understand there's arguments on the other  
21 side by Mr. Adler for the reasons for that type of sentence.  
22 But what I think, first, is we just have to get through the  
23 calculations; and the United States also, your Honor, would tell  
24 -- would state, basically, because of the resentencing and the  
02:09:31 25 rule of mandate, there were two points that actually were

1 included in the PSR that was filed -- on the updated PSR that  
2 the United States and both the defense agree do not apply.

3 So, instead of the 30 -- 40 or whatever it would  
4 be, those two points are not in play because the United States  
02:09:49 5 did not appeal that portion of the sentencing guideline  
6 calculation. So, that's a moot point. We cannot argue that at  
7 this time.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Adler.

9 MR. ADLER: Again, having gone through the Fifth  
02:10:05 10 Circuit argument, I felt it was apparent from their questioning  
11 that they felt the terrorism enhancement should apply; and so, I  
12 have not objected to that again. But that doesn't mean this  
13 Court can't vary or depart back down to the original sentence or  
14 any other sentence the Court deems appropriate.

02:10:21 15 So, even though I haven't objected to that  
16 enhancement and that issue will not be before the Court, I don't  
17 want the Court to think I'm conceding that I think a 15-year  
18 sentence or maximum punishment is appropriate.

19 Mr. Khan completed the sentence of imprisonment  
02:10:34 20 that this Court felt was appropriate the first time, and I --

21 THE COURT: I know that. I'm just trying to make sure  
22 we got all the things that we -- I don't want this resentencing  
23 to go on for four days.

24 MS. FERKO: Right.

02:10:49 25 THE COURT: Send you all off to look at more books and

1 come back and confuse me more.

2 MR. ADLER: Yeah. We -- both sides have filed some  
3 law about the resentencing.

4 MS. FERKO: Yes, your Honor.

02:11:04 5 MR. ADLER: I did file some additional materials  
6 relating to him being back in school and working. He's  
7 participating in a couple of programs to stop young men from  
8 joining extremist groups or criminal gangs.

9 I think he just recently was interviewed for a  
02:11:19 10 Podcast that's on the Internet now. The *Chronicle* wants to talk  
11 to him. He's involved with something with the City of Houston  
12 where he was interviewed. I sat in for that interview, and he  
13 explained what he thought caused him to get drawn into this and  
14 how parents and teachers and community leaders can spot kids who  
02:11:36 15 might be going down the same path.

16 So, I filed some materials about that just  
17 showing that he's continued to try to do what he had been doing  
18 while he was on bond. He still speaks at the University of  
19 Houston student union. When kids come by and talk about  
02:11:50 20 geopolitical events, he points out that you should not believe  
21 propaganda that's on the Internet from some of these groups.

22 THE COURT: How old was he when he started this? I  
23 know he was zero when he started.

24 MR. ADLER: Nineteen?

02:12:18 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 MR. ADLER: He was 19 years old.

2 THE COURT: It's a very peculiar process.

3 MR. ADLER: I'm sorry, Judge, I couldn't hear you.

4 THE COURT: This particular set of rules and statutes  
02:14:23 5 and things is a very peculiar process. Did I read it correctly  
6 that somebody with no criminal history gets an automatic  
7 criminal history if they fall under the --

8 MS. FERKO: The terrorism enhancement, your Honor.

9 Yes, it is; and that's part of the application.

10 THE COURT: Can you -- we're not going to reiterate.  
02:14:55 11 Just explain to me how a criminal history changes based on a  
12 crime. It doesn't matter what it -- unless it's a bank robbery  
13 on a Tuesday. They may give you --

14 MS. FERKO: So, your Honor, it's been adopted by the  
02:15:12 15 United States Sentencing Guidelines as far as the application of  
16 a Category VI once that's found that there's evidence that the  
17 Defendant's, in this case Khan's, conduct was calculated to  
18 influence or affect the conduct of the United States because  
19 ISIS terrorist acts were intended to intimidate or coerce the  
02:15:35 20 US.

21 Then once that Level Thirty -- or -- what -- the  
22 application of the 12 points is applied, the sentencing  
23 guidelines, Congress, as far as that's concerned, wrote in that  
24 if you're in this section, then your criminal history, no matter  
02:15:55 25 what it is, whether it's a II -- a I, a II, a III, a IV, or a V,

1 you will become a VI.

2 THE COURT: Tell me --

3 MS. FERKO: Sure.

4 THE COURT: -- how a crime on Wednesday changes your  
02:16:07 5 criminal history on Monday --

6 MS. FERKO: Well, your Honor --

7 THE COURT: -- the preceding Monday.

8 MS. FERKO: You know, I mean, this is -- the charge of  
9 which Mr. --

02:16:16 10 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.

11 MS. FERKO: Yes.

12 THE COURT: It doesn't matter what the charge is.

13 MS. FERKO: Well, it does. It does -- it has to be --

14 THE COURT: No. But they could -- by the Government's  
02:16:27 15 logic, Congress and the Sentencing Commission could say anybody  
16 who hunts geese on Wednesday gets a criminal history of IV.

17 Now, that destroys the phrase "criminal history"  
18 of any rational meaning, which is not an unusual practice in  
19 government.

02:16:54 20 MS. FERKO: Well, your Honor, I mean, I think -- I  
21 mean, the basis --

22 THE COURT: And this is academic because --

23 MS. FERKO: Right. I think the basis or the back-end  
24 intent of the guidelines initially was to provide some  
02:17:05 25 uniformity, conformity; and so, that's why all these numbers and

1 adding it to these levels and categories --

2 THE COURT: It has nothing to do with calling him  
3 something -- in fact, my friend Lincoln there --

4 MS. FERKO: Yes, your Honor. I'm familiar with him.

02:17:20 5 THE COURT: You've met him?

6 MS. FERKO: We've never met.

7 THE COURT: I went to school with him. Mr. Lincoln  
8 would respond to arguments like that as if -- if you call a  
9 goat's tail a leg, how many legs does the goat have? And the  
02:17:39 10 answer is four. Calling something a leg when it's a tail is not  
11 rational, and rationality would be something in sentencing that  
12 the Constitution would think about.

13 MS. FERKO: Well, your Honor, I mean, as far as the  
14 criminal history points and how they add up -- and I'd actually  
02:18:06 15 defer to Mr. Hopkins to what the -- the maximum amount of points  
16 a person can have; but I think that that fight would  
17 automatically -- by automatically them sticking them into  
18 Category VI, they weren't worried about if you're a victim of a  
19 hate crime, add two points to your criminal history or if you --  
02:18:22 20 if you did "X," "Y," and "Z," add seven points to the criminal  
21 history category.

22 They just said, no, this is a crime that we find  
23 horrible -- abominable and you're going to be a Category VI,  
24 like, we're going to push you --

02:18:40 25 THE COURT: It's still not history.

1 MS. FERKO: I'm sorry, your Honor?

2 THE COURT: It's still not criminal history. Criminal  
3 history applies to non-offense conduct but other offenses the  
4 Defendant may have committed. So, you --

02:18:55 5 MS. FERKO: Wait. But I think that they weren't  
6 worried if they were a zero, if they were a -- you know, or if  
7 the criminal points history added up to a Category III or  
8 Category IV. I just felt that -- my understanding, your Honor,  
9 is, from the application and the thought processes behind this,  
02:19:10 10 they wanted to put that individual who committed that crime of  
11 terrorism -- or pled guilty to that crime of terrorism in a  
12 Category VI automatically.

13 MR. ADLER: Judge, if I could, I think I fully  
14 understand what the Court is saying, that the nature of the  
02:19:25 15 current offense doesn't change what happened historically.

16 THE COURT: Right.

17 MR. ADLER: What -- and frankly, I think if the  
18 guidelines were drafted properly, they would have said something  
19 about the offense level being changed versus the criminal  
02:19:37 20 history category because we can't change what's happened  
21 historically. That's just simple logic.

22 And that's why I pointed out earlier this  
23 afternoon that, if the Court applies the terrorism enhancement  
24 but then finds that that is not a rational or appropriate  
02:19:51 25 sentence for just about any reason, as long as the Court puts it

1 on the record, then the Court can say, "I'm going to sentence  
2 him back in Category I."

3 So, it's -- I agree it's a little bit of a -- it  
4 seems an odd dance that we have to do to get through this; but I  
02:20:06 5 am concerned about going back to the Fifth Circuit; and the  
6 Fifth Circuit saying we're going to move the case to another  
7 Court or something.

8 THE COURT: There's only one who wants to do that.

9 Yes, sir.

02:20:20 10 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, I was just going  
11 to say the other place in the guidelines this occurs is in the  
12 case of career offenders and armed-career criminals where they  
13 automatically give a Category VI.

14 And my understanding is that it's to determine --  
02:20:33 15 or to account for the likelihood of recidivism, not actually  
16 their past conduct and their --

17 THE COURT: Don't call it past conduct when it didn't  
18 exist.

19 MS. FERKO: Well, I think the term is -- I mean, at  
02:20:44 20 least in the newer guidelines, the current book, I mean, it  
21 refers to it as criminal history and criminal livelihood. I  
22 mean -- so, I don't know "livelihood" means that it's in the  
23 future but I mean --

24 MR. ADLER: Livelihood is --

25 MS. FERKO: Right.

1                   MR. ADLER: -- if you've made your livelihood -- if  
2 you've made your livelihood as a prosecutor --

3                   MS. FERKO: Right.

4                   MR. ADLER: -- that's historical because --

02:21:03 5                   THE COURT: And that's 20 points.

6                   MS. FERKO: But your Honor, I mean, as far as what --  
7 as far as that enhancement is concerned, it is --

8                   THE COURT: Ms. Ferko --

9                   MS. FERKO: Yes.

02:21:13 10                  THE COURT: -- you've done a very good job of trying  
11 to explain something that we simply have to accept --

12                  MS. FERKO: Thank you, your Honor.

13                  THE COURT: -- at this stage. So, I don't want to  
14 wear you out on that.

02:21:29 15                  MS. FERKO: Thanks.

16                  THE COURT: The answer is it just is. They call stuff  
17 interstate commerce which is -- no founder would have considered  
18 interstate commerce. And forfeiture because some component of  
19 the computer used was made a problem. Isn't that a confession  
02:21:57 20 of silliness or accurateness or something? Yes, the related  
21 stuff is bad; but we have 50 other substantial alternative  
22 jurisdictions.

23                  Do you anticipate any further reading materials?

24                  MS. FERKO: At this time, no, your Honor. I feel the  
02:22:24 25 United States has provided this Court with a substantial

1 briefing on the law that relates to the sentencing.

2 I believe -- as of last week our reply to  
3 Mr. Adler's objections to the PSR I feel answers a lot of  
4 things. We actually, you know, conceded the two points under  
02:22:39 the mandate rule. So, I feel that, you know, we would be ready  
5 to proceed to sentencing on the 13th; and I think the PSR that's  
6 been updated is well written and includes, you know --  
7

8 THE COURT: So, when are you going to New York?

9 MR. ADLER: I believe Tuesday morning, and I come back  
02:22:58 Saturday.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 You saw that?

13 MS. FERKO: Your Honor, I was -- I actually called  
14 Mr. Adler -- I'm actually out of the country that date of the  
02:23:09 initial, so --

16 THE COURT: That's when we'll set it.

17 MS. FERKO: So, I was hoping Mr. Adler was somewhere  
18 on Friday other than Texas.

19 THE COURT: Okay. I misremembered what the  
02:23:22 application said; and so, I granted it but then remonstrated  
21 that, no, we moved it to February. I just --

22 MS. FERKO: No. I think --

23 THE CASE MANAGER: It's December 13th.

24 MS. FERKO: December 13th.

02:23:36 25 THE COURT: Everybody's agreed. That's why we have

1 court reporters and wonderful case managers and people to say  
2 no. Somehow I had this -- you know, I sign a lot of stuff.

3 MS. FERKO: Yes, sir.

4 THE COURT: Motions for continuance are the most  
02:23:52 5 frequently signed document in my career. And I just --

6 MR. ADLER: On this side of the courtroom, that's  
7 considered a big victory if you get a motion for continuance  
8 granted. Sometimes that's our only victory.

9 THE COURT: Well, sometimes they deserve a continuance  
02:24:14 10 when they might not deserve to win.

11 All right. Anything else we can do?

12 MR. ADLER: No. We'll be here on the 13th, Judge.

13 THE COURT: I think I'll be ready.

14 MS. FERKO: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: I've read what you've done so far, and I  
02:24:27 16 just wanted to think about it.

17 MR. ADLER: Thank you, Judge.

18 MS. FERKO: Thank you, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel.

20 (Proceedings concluded at 2:24 p.m.)

21

22 C E R T I F I C A T E

23 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript  
24 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to  
25 the best of my ability.

By: /s/Gayle L. Dye

Gayle L. Dye, CSR, RDR, CRR

12/23/2019

Date